**LP AUDIT FORM** 



# LEARNING PROGRAMME AUDIT TOOL

NAME OF INSTITUTION:

NAME OF LEARNING PROGRAMME:

LEARNING PROGRAMME SERIAL NUMBER:

LEARNING PROGRAMME VALIDITY PERIOD:

DATE OF AUDIT:

#### 1.0 Introduction

The aim of learning programme audits is to assess progress in the implementation of learning programmes accredited by the Authority. The learning programme audit allows the Authority, together with the Higher Education Institution (HEI), to:

- a. determine if the standards met at initial accreditation are being maintained and if the implementing department/unit is on track in the implementation of the learning programme;
- b. identify the strengths and weaknesses in the implementation of a learning programme; and
- c. identify areas for continuous quality improvement and enhancement of a learning programme.

## 1.1 Principles guiding learning programme audits

The learning programme audit process is guided by the following principles:

- a. A Higher Education Institution has the primary responsibility for quality assurance of a learning programme. In this regard, the implementing department shall ensure that internal quality assurance mechanisms are in place to support the successful implementation of the programme;
- b. self-assessment the learning programme audit shall be based on an institutional self-evaluation through their internal quality assurance system. The HEI shall use this tool in conducting its self-assessment of the learning programme;
- c. peer review the audit is based on external peer review; and
- d. continuous quality improvement the main aim of the learning programme audit is to use the results to foster quality improvement and enhancement.

#### 1.2 The learning programme audit process

The learning program audit will follow the following steps;

- a. Notification the Authority will notify the institution of an impending learning programme audit at least a month before the exercise.
- b. In readiness for the learning programme audit, the institution shall conduct a selfassessment of the learning programme(s) in question and submit a self-audit report to the Authority at least two weeks before the audit.

- c. The Director General of the Authority shall appoint an audit team comprising experts and HEA staff. The size of the team will depend on the nature of the learning programme (s).
- d. The audit team shall review the self-audit report and prepare for an audit visit
- e. The audit visit shall occur at least two weeks after receipt of the self-audit report
- f. During the audit visit, the team shall hold meetings with the learning programme implementing department/unit, review relevant policies and interview students and staff as a means of collecting data for the audit. In addition, the team shall conduct a physical inspection of teaching and learning facilities.
- g. After the inspection, the audit team shall share its preliminary findings with the HEI, including areas of possible improvement.
- h. The audit team shall submit a report to the Authority which shall include its findings and recommendations. The recommendations shall include suggested areas for improvement.
- i. The Authority shall review the report and direct the HEI to undertake remedial action where the findings show gaps in the implementation of the learning programme.
- j. Based on the findings, the Authority can also revoke the accreditation certificate of the learning programme in accordance with Statutory Instrument No. 25 of 2016 regulation 8 and 12.

## 1.3 Frequency of learning programme audits

Every programme shall be audited at least once during its period of validity. However, incidental audits may also be undertaken by the authority as and when need arises.

#### 1.4 Learning programme audit criteria

The learning programme criteria consist of seven (07) requirements and each requirement has quality standards with respective performance indicators that must be assessed by the learning programme auditors. The learning programme auditors should use the suggested means of verification provided in Table 1 to ascertain whether or not the quality standard has been met. The learning programme auditors should indicate their findings on each quality standard in the finding's column of Table 1.

#### Table 1: Audit Criteria

Scope: This require	ement focusses on learning prog	ramme leadership	
Quality Standard	Performance Indicator	Means of Verification	Findings
The LP is well coordinated to ensure effective implementation	<ul> <li>a) The implementing department/school has clear procedures for learning programme coordination/management</li> <li>b) Learning programme has a qualified coordinator (lecturer employed on fulltime basis in the department hosting the LP)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>a) Actual policy/procedures document for learning programme coordination</li> <li>b) CVs and qualifications of coordinators</li> </ul>	
	c) Each course is coordinated by a full-time lecturer from the implementing department	c) Contract/proof of employment for coordinator	

g)Staff trained in ODeL (for ODeL programmes) R2: Curriculum Implementation
---

Curriculum implementation is aligned to learning	<ul> <li>a) Taught content is in conformity with curriculum of accredited learning programme</li> <li>b) Instructional material is relevant to curriculum of accredited learning programme</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>a) Course module</li> <li>b) Student Questionnaire</li> <li>c) Virtual learning platform</li> <li>d) Assessment records</li> </ul>	
programme aims/objectives and outcomes	c) ODeL instructional material are appropriate to the mode of learning	e) Teaching and learning Plans/Schedules	
	d) Appropriate teaching and learning methods are used in conformity with the accredited learning programme.		
Curriculum	a) Teaching and learning focuses on intended knowledge, skills, ideas and experiences	a) Student Questionnaire b)Assessment records	
coverage is adequate to meet learning programme objectives and outcomes	b) 100% of curriculum covered by end of semester/ academic year	c)learning programme coordinator questionnaire	
	c) Curriculum activities and coverage are in conformity with what is provided in the	d)records from e-learning platforms e)student class notes	
	teaching and learning plan of the learning programme	·	
Assessment methods are	a) Students are assessed in accordance with the provisions of the accredited learning programme	<ul> <li>a) Learning programme</li> <li>assessment record file</li> <li>b) Assessment</li> <li>strategy/schedule</li> </ul>	
relevant and adequate for the learning programme	b) Planned assessment strategy which includes timing, number and form of assessment and deadline	document c) Learning programme assessment record file	
	dates available	d) Sample student works	

	C) Appropriate assessment	e) Feedback reports	
	tools are used for the		
	learning programme	f) External examiner's CV	
		and appointment letter	
	d) Continuous assessment schedule communicated to	g) External examiner's	
	students at the start of the	report	
	semester/term		
		h) Student questionnaire	
	e) Feedback provided to		
	students for every piece of		
	work submitted/presented		
	f) Appropriate grading		
	system used in assessment		
	g) Qualified external		
	examiner appointed for the		
	learning programme		
	h) External examiner's report		
	on completed		
	examinations available		
	<ul> <li>a) Modules:</li> <li>• cover relevant content</li> </ul>		
	• cover relevant content	a) Actual modules	
	<ul> <li>are interactive</li> </ul>	b) Actual readings	
Instructional	<ul> <li>well structured</li> </ul>	c) Actual e-platform	
materials are	<ul> <li>allow for self-directed</li> </ul>		
adequate and	learning		
relevant to the	b) relevant readings		
learning programme	available to students		
	c) course material available on e-platform		
Strength(s):	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>

#### Weakness(es):

#### Recommendation(s):

Recommendation(s):

• •			of an	HEI to policies and guidelines in the
mplementation c			1	
		dence showing:		
	a)	LP enrolment levels in		
		accordance with	a)	Actual policies
		enrolment policies		
			b)	Enrolment records
	b)	Admissions in		
Academic		accordance with	C)	Admissions records
Policies and		admission policies		
Regulations are			d)	Assessment records
adhered to in	C)			
the		matching available	e)	Student transfer
implementation		physical infrastructure		records
of the learning		and staffing levels		
programme			f)	Inspection of actual
	d)	Adherence to assessment		facility
		policies including grading		
		policies	g)	Audit records
	e)	Adherence to ZSG-QA		
	-,	and student transfer		
		guidelines		
Strength(s):	1	-	1	
• • • •				
Neakness(es):				

Scope: This require	rement assesses the adequacy of administrative, technical and oth	er support
	nplementation of the learning programme	
Learner assessment support systems are adequate to facilitate implementation of the learning programme	Learner assessment procedures manuals showing: a) how assignments are given and markeda) Learner assessment Manualb) how tests are set, administered, and markedb) Examination moderation manualc) how continuous assessments, examinations and grades are compiledb) Examination moderation manualc) how continuous assessments, examinations and grades are compiledc) Internal and external examiners reportsd) how examinations are moderatedd) Student handbooke) how external examiners are selectede) Postgraduate students' examinations regulationsf) how external examiners conduct assessmentsg) how postgraduate 	
The learning programme is supported by an up-to-date learner records management system	Defined student information management system that has and shows: a) A comprehensive database of learner profilesa) A demonstration of the learner records management systemb) Learner records(student financial record, student results, progression records etc.)b) Report on archived student informationc) Archived student informationc) Archived student information	

		1	
	d) Security procedures for		
	student records		
The learning programme is supported by adequate administrative, academic and psycho-social counselling support services	<ul> <li>a) Structures for academic, administrative and psycho- social counselling are available and functional</li> <li>b) Availability of Handbooks Providing information on academic, administrative and psycho-social matters</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>a) Actual structures or units</li> <li>b) Actual handbooks</li> <li>c) Records of support services provided</li> </ul>	
Strength(s):			
Weakness(es): Recommendatior	15:		
R5: Physical Infra	structure		
,			
Scope: The requir	ement assesses the physical infra	astructure available to support	
implementation o	f the learning programme.		
	There must be:		
The learning	a) Adequate lecture rooms to support contact sessions		
programme has physical facilities in sufficient quantity and	<ul> <li>b) Physical facilities to support tutorials/small group interactions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>a) Visit to physical facilities</li> <li>b) Interaction with staff</li> </ul>	
quality to support teaching and learning	c) Laboratories/Studio facilities, where applicable	and students	
	d) Demonstration sites, where applicable		

	e) Equipment and materials	
	dedicated to the learning	
	programme	
	f) Dedicated office for the	
	management/coordinati	
	-	
	on of the learning	
	programme	
The learning	Availability of a library which	a) Visit to the library
The learning	is:	a) Visit to the library
programme is	a) Stocked with relevant	
supported by a	and Up-to-date material	b) Demonstration of
well-resourced	for the learning	online library
Library	_	
to enable	programme including e-	c) Interaction with staff
students access	resources	and students
information.		
	b) easily accessible to	
	learners and has sufficient	
	physical space	
Strength(s):		
Weakness(es):		
Recommendatior	าร:	
<b>R6:</b> Financial Res	ources	
•		
=	-	nd adequacy of financial resources to suppo
learning program	me implementation.	
	a) Availability of finances	a) Institutional annual
		budget
The HEI has	dedicated to	b) Procurement records
	procurement of teaching	c) Interaction with
adequate	and learning	
financial	materials/equipment	financial personnel
resources to		and programme staff
support learning	b) Availability of finances to	
programme	-	
implementation	support teaching and	
	learning activities such as	
	field work	

Strength(s):	c) Availability of finances dedicated to emoluments for staff teaching in the programme		
Weakness(es):			
Recommendation	15:		
R7: Technological	Infrastructure		
Scope: This require delivery of the lea	ement assesses the adequacy of Irning programme	f e-learning facilities to suppo	ort effective
The HEI has adequate and up to date e- Learning facilities to support enhancement of teaching and learning	<ul> <li>a) Availability of eLearning platforms</li> <li>b) Usage of eLearning platforms for teaching and learning</li> <li>c) Availability of databases for e- resources</li> <li>d) Availability of ICTs</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>a) E-learning platforms (e.g., Moodle, Astria, Blackboard)</li> <li>b) E-learning policy document</li> <li>c) e-databases subscription records</li> <li>d) Actual ICTs</li> </ul>	
Strength(s): Weakness(es):			
Recommendatior	15:		

# 2.0 Major Findings

Major Strengths:

Major Weaknesses/gaps:

#### 2.1 Overall Recommendations of the Audit team

Tick (√)	Overall Recommendation
	The learning programme requires minor improvements (e.g., assessment
	methods, student feedback, quality of modules, change learning programme
	coordinator etc.)
	The learning programme requires major improvements (e.g., increase staffing
	levels, improve technological and physical infrastructure, financial resources,
	internal quality assurance)
	The learning programme requires revocation (e.g., programme has no qualified
	lecturers, unsuitable facilities for teaching and learning, enrolled students do not
	meet admission criteria, learning programme has been altered by more than
	30%)
	The learning programme is recommended for reaccreditation consideration
	and the HEI may, therefore, apply for accreditation
	The learning programme is NOT recommended for accreditation and
	implementation should be discontinued upon expiry of the validity period.

# Names and Signatures of Audit Team Members

SN	Name	Signature	Date
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			

Signature of Audit team Leader:

Date:

Signature of HEA Team Representative: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: